

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/653,550	Applicant(s) STANOI ET AL.	
	Examiner Shew-Fen Lin	Art Unit 2166	

All Participants:

(1) Shew-Fen Lin.

(2) Victor Grossman.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 August 2006

Time: 10:15 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 9, and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet


Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MOHAMMAD ALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative about a potential amendment in order to overcome the 101 and 112 rejection. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has been given for an Examiner's amendment..